UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,499	07/02/2003	Edward L. Galloway	1779-7	3484	
	7590 01/17/2007		EXAM	IINER	
John S. Egbert Harrison & Egbert			EREZO, E	EREZO, DARWIN P	
7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
412 Main Stree Houston, TX 7			3731		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
44 P 43/2		01/17/2007	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/612,499	GALLOWAY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Edemaiors of times may be available under the provisions after SX (b) MONTH's formals made under the provisions of the provisions of the provisions of the provisions of the Failure SX (b) MONTH's formal the provisions of the Failure SX (b) MONTH's formal the provisions of the provisions of the Failure SX (b) MONTH's formal the provisions of the provisions of the Army paly received by the Office later than three months a energy paly formal the provisions of the prov	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>26 October 2006</u> .			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is			
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 21-39 is/are pending in the				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.			
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	the section requirement			
8) Claim(s) <u>21-39</u> are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers		¥.		
9) The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.65(a): ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including  11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing by the Examiner Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the diagon			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority</li> </ol>				
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage		
application from the internation  * See the attached detailed Office action	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	of received.		
- 266 the attached defailed Office activ	on to a list of the continue copies in	<del></del> -		
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		of Informal Patent Application		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/612,499

Art Unit: 3731

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 21-32, drawn to a bleeding time testing system, classified in class 606, subclass 182.
  - Claims 33-39, drawn to a tripping device, classified in class 606, subclass

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination claim 21 is an evidence claim showing that the combination does not require the details of subcombination claim 33 for patentability. That is, the combination claim 21 does not require the limitation of the "slide frame moving transversely to an axis of movement of said actuator button." Furthermore, the subcombination of the tripping device has separate utility such as a tripper for a punch actuator or an implant delivery device actuator.
- 3. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

Application/Control Number: 10/612,499

Art Unit: 3731

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,499

Art Unit: 3731

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,499 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Darwin P. Erez Examiner Art Unit 3731

de